IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)) Case Number 8:11CR5	
Plaintiff,) case Number 6.11CR3	
vs.) DETENTION ORDER)	
JOSE SALIDO-ROSAS,)))	
Defendant.	,)	
A. Order For Detention After the defendant waived a detention the Bail Reform Act, the Court orders the pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) and (i).		
conditions will reasonably assure required. X By clear and convincing evidence		
that which was contained in the Pretrial X (1) Nature and circumstances of X (a) The crime: Reentry of convicted of 3 or more or drugs in violation of crime and carries a m (b) The offense is a crime (c) The offense involves a	f a removed alien after having been e3 misdemeanor crimes against the person f 8 U.S.C. § 1326(a) & (b)(1) is a serious eaximum penalty of 10 years imprisonment. e of violence.	
X (3) The history and characteristi (a) General Factors: The defendar may affect where the control of the contr	against the defendant is high. ics of the defendant including: Int appears to have a mental condition which the ther the defendant will appear. Int has no family ties in the area. Int has no steady employment.	

DETENTION ORDER - Page 2

			ndant has no substantial financial resources. ndant is not a long time resident of the
		commun	ity.
			ndant does not have any significant community
		ties.	dust of the plate along t
		Past con	duct of the defendant:
		X The defe	ndant has a history relating to drug abuse. ndant has a history relating to alcohol abuse. ndant has a significant prior criminal record. ndant has a prior record of failure to appear at
			ceedings.
		·	current arrest, the defendant was on:
		Probation	1
		Parole	10.1
		•	ed Release
		sentence	pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of
		(c) Other Factors:	adout in our illegal plies and in outlient to
		X The defe	ndant is an illegal alien and is subject to
		•	ndant is a legal alien and will be subject to
			on if convicted.
			eau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement
		` ,	as placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal.
		<u>X</u> Other: _	3 prior removal actions
Χ	(4)	The nature and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's	
		release are as follows:	
		release are as follows: Convictions for assau	It and battery (2006)
		Convictions for assau	
	(5)	Convictions for assau Protection order violat	ion (2002)
	(5)	Convictions for assaude Protection order violate Rebuttable Presumption	ons (2002)
	(5)	Protection order violat Rebuttable Presumption In determining that the order	ion (2002)
	(5)	Convictions for assaude Protection order violate Protection order violate Presumption In determining that the converse services on the following respectively.	bns lefendant should be detained, the Court also buttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. urt finds the defendant has not rebutted:
	(5)	Convictions for assaude Protection order violate Protection order violate Presumption In determining that the correlated on the following respectively: § 3142(e) which the Couraction (a) That no condition	bns lefendant should be detained, the Court also buttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. art finds the defendant has not rebutted: or combination of conditions will reasonably
	(5)	Rebuttable Presumption In determining that the control of the following residual (a) That no condition assure the appear	bns lefendant should be detained, the Court also buttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. art finds the defendant has not rebutted: or combination of conditions will reasonably rance of the defendant as required and the
	(5)	Rebuttable Presumption In determining that the control of the Country (a) That no condition assure the appears afety of any other	efendant should be detained, the Court also ebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. art finds the defendant has not rebutted: or combination of conditions will reasonably rance of the defendant as required and the er person and the community because the Court
	(5)	Rebuttable Presumption In determining that the control of the cont	bns lefendant should be detained, the Court also buttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. art finds the defendant has not rebutted: or combination of conditions will reasonably rance of the defendant as required and the er person and the community because the Court ne involves:
	(5)	Rebuttable Presumption In determining that the control of the cont	efendant should be detained, the Court also ebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. art finds the defendant has not rebutted: or combination of conditions will reasonably rance of the defendant as required and the er person and the community because the Court
	(5)	Rebuttable Presumption In determining that the control of the cont	efendant should be detained, the Court also buttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. art finds the defendant has not rebutted: or combination of conditions will reasonably rance of the defendant as required and the er person and the community because the Court ne involves: me of violence; or ffense for which the maximum penalty is life isonment or death; or
	(5)	Rebuttable Presumption In determining that the control of the condition assure the appear safety of any other finds that the crime. (1) A crime. (2) An own impression of the control of the crime. (3) A control of the control of the crime.	efendant should be detained, the Court also buttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. art finds the defendant has not rebutted: or combination of conditions will reasonably rance of the defendant as required and the er person and the community because the Court he involves: me of violence; or ffense for which the maximum penalty is life isonment or death; or introlled substance violation which has a
	(5)	Rebuttable Presumption In determining that the control of the cont	efendant should be detained, the Court also buttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. art finds the defendant has not rebutted: or combination of conditions will reasonably rance of the defendant as required and the er person and the community because the Court ne involves: me of violence; or ffense for which the maximum penalty is life isonment or death; or

DETENTION ORDER - Page 3

two or more prior offenses described in (1) through (3) above, and the defendant has a prior conviction for one of the crimes mentioned in (1) through (3) above which is less than five years old and which was committed while the defendant was on pretrial release. (b) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably

assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because the Court finds that there is probable cause to believe:

(1) That the defendant has committed a controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more.

(2) That the defendant has committed an offense under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during and in relation to any crime of violence, including a crime of violence, which provides for an enhanced punishment if committed by the use of a deadly or dangerous weapon or device).

D. Additional Directives

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

- The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and
- The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
- That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: January 21, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

s/ F. A. Gossett United States Magistrate Judge